

C. DUKES SCOTT
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

1441 Main Street, Suite 300
Columbia, SC 29201



DAN F. ARNETT
CHIEF OF STAFF

Main Line: (803) 737-0800
Legal Department: (803) 737-0877

VIA EFILING AND HAND DELIVERY

FLORENCE P. BELSER
GENERAL COUNSEL

lhammon@regstaff.sc.gov

July 9, 2007

Mr. Charles L.A. Terreni
Chief Clerk/Administrator
South Carolina Public Service Commission
101 Executive Center Dr., Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Re: Petition of Community Long Distance, Inc. d/b/a Comporium Long Distance for Alternative Regulation -
Docket No. 2007-191-C

Dear Mr. Terreni:

In Commission Orders No. 1995-1734 and 96-55 in Docket No. 95-661-C, as modified by Order No. 2001-997 in Docket No. 2000-407-C, the Commission established principles and procedures for Alternative Regulation. Additionally, in those decisions, the Commission found that business long distance services, consumer card, private line, and operator service offerings should not be required to indicate maximum rates and that such tariffs be presumed valid upon filing, subject to the Commission's right within seven (7) days to institute an investigation of the tariff filing. This form of regulation was subsequently modified by Order No. 2001-997, dated November 8, 2001, wherein the Commission re-instated maximum rates for certain "operator-assisted calls" where a customer uses a local exchange carrier's calling card to complete calls from locations which have not selected that local exchange carrier as the toll provider. Order No. 2001-997 imposed a maximum cap of \$1.75 for operator surcharges for such calls, and a maximum cap of \$0.35 related to the flat per-minute rate associated with these calls. This is the form of alternative regulation currently approved by the Commission for carriers offering interexchange (long distance type) services.

The Office of Regulatory Staff (ORS) has reviewed the petition by Comporium Long Distance (the Company). ORS does not oppose the relief sought by the Company as long as such relief is consistent with the above-referenced orders.

Residential rates should continue to be regulated in accordance with provisions set out in Order No. 84-622 as modified by Order No. 93-638.

Should you have any questions concerning this matter, please let us know.

Sincerely,

C. Lessie Hammonds

cc: M. John Bowen, Jr., Esquire
Margaret M. Fox, Esquire